

ESOMAR WORLD RESEARCH CODES & GUIDELINES

CONDUCTING MARKET AND OPINION RESEARCH USING THE INTERNET



WORLD

ESOMAR

RESEARCH

ESOMAR WORLD RESEARCH CODES & GUIDELINES

CONDUCTING MARKET AND OPINION RESEARCH USING THE INTERNET

CONTENTS

1	Introduction	3
2	Basic principles	3
2.1	Co-operation is voluntary	3
2.2	The researcher’s identity must be disclosed	4
2.3	The respondent’s anonymity must be safeguarded	4
2.4	Privacy policy statements	5
2.5	Data security	5
2.6	Reliability and validity	5
2.7	Interviewing children and young people	6
2.8	Unsolicited E-mail	6
2.9	Internet access panels	6
3	Additional guidance	7
3.1	Co-operation is voluntary	7
	Sample wording for obtaining consent to set up a “cookie” for research purposes	9
3.2	The researcher’s identity must be disclosed	9
3.3	The respondents’ anonymity must be safeguarded	9
3.4	Privacy policy statements	9
	Standard elements for all privacy statements	10
	Three major variants	11
	Example privacy statement	12
3.5	Data security	13
3.6	Reliability and validity	14
3.7	Interviewing children and young people	14
3.8	Unsolicited e-mail	16

4	Internet access panels	17
	Guideline on internet access panels	17
	Panel recruitment	17
	Project management	19
	Panel monitoring	20
	Panel maintenance	21
	Privacy/data protection	22
	25 questions to help research buyers	22
5	Sources used in compiling guidelines	24
6	Links to national research association information	24
7	Important definitions	24
	Definition of Internet research	24
	Definition of Internet access panel	24

ESOMAR WORLD RESEARCH CODES & GUIDELINES

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid growth of the Internet has opened dramatic new opportunities for collecting and disseminating research information worldwide. At the same time it raises a number of ethical and technical issues that must be addressed if the medium is to be used effectively and responsibly for market and opinion research purposes.

This web site has been established to provide researchers throughout the world, with timely guidance on these issues. It combines an interpretation of the **ICC/ESOMAR International Code of Marketing and Social Research Practice** as applied to internet research (**definition of internet research**) with practical guidance on technical issues. Detailed technical guidance is included on the issues of privacy policies and the use and management of internet access panels (**definition of internet access panel**). ESOMAR is aware that some National Associations will provide national guidance on variations from this guide. A list of national associations is available on ESOMAR's web site.

2. BASIC PRINCIPLES

Market and opinion research is the professional activity of collecting and interpreting consumer, business, and

social data so that decision makers can make better and more efficient marketing and social decisions.

All research carried out on the Internet must conform to the rules and spirit of the main ICC/ESOMAR International Code of Marketing and Social Research Practice and also to Data Protection and other relevant legislation (both international and national¹).

Such market and opinion research must always respect the rights of respondents and other Internet users. It must be carried out in ways which are acceptable to them, to the general public and in accordance with national and international self regulation. Researchers must avoid any actions which might bring Internet research into disrepute or reduce confidence in its findings.

The following sections highlight special aspects of the application of the Code to internet research. In essence they set out the basic principles which should guide researchers when using the internet. At the end of each section there is a link to a more detailed set of guidance.

2.1 Co-operation is voluntary

Researchers must avoid intruding unnecessarily on the privacy of Internet respondents. Survey respondents'

co-operation must at all times be voluntary. No personal information that is additional to that already available from other sources should be sought from, or about, respondents without their prior knowledge and agreement.

In obtaining the necessary agreement from respondents the researcher must not mislead them about the nature of the research or the uses which will be made of the findings. It is however recognised that there are occasions when, in order to prevent biased responses, the purpose of the research cannot be fully disclosed to respondents at the beginning of the interview. In particular, the researcher should avoid deceptive statements that would be harmful or create a nuisance to the respondent - for example, about the likely length of the interview or about the possibilities of being re-interviewed on a later occasion.

Respondents should also be alerted when appropriate to any costs that they may incur (e.g. of on-line time) if they co-operate in the survey. They are entitled at any stage of the interview to ask that part or all of the record of their interview be destroyed or deleted and the researcher must conform to any such request where reasonable.

Link to 3.1

4

2.2 The researcher's identity must be disclosed

Respondents must be told the identity of the researcher carrying out the project and the address at which they can without difficulty re-contact the latter should they wish to do so.

Link to 3.2

2.3 Respondent's anonymity must be safeguarded

The anonymity of respondents must always be preserved unless they have given their informed consent to the contrary. If respondents have given permission for data to be passed on in a form which allows them to be personally identified, the researcher must ensure that the information will be used for research purposes only. No such personally identified information may be used for subsequent non-research purposes such as direct marketing, list-building, credit rating, fund-raising or other marketing activities relating to those individual respondents. If permission is not given, the respondent should be reassured that confidentiality will be strictly maintained.

Link to 3.3

2.4 Privacy policy statements

Researchers are encouraged to post their privacy policy statement on their online site. When such privacy policy statements exist, they should be easy to find, easy to use and comprehensible.

A guide to privacy policies and an example privacy policy is provided later in this document to assist researchers comply with this requirement. It is impossible to draft such an example policy which complies with all local legislative requirements.

Companies with an ESOMAR member can add the statement that they comply with the ICC/ESOMAR International Code with the ESOMAR logo which is downloadable from the members' website with a hyperlink to the ESOMAR site.

2.5 Data security

Researchers should take adequate precautions to protect the security of sensitive data. Researchers must also reasonably ensure that any confidential information provided to them by clients or others is protected (e.g. by firewall) against unauthorised access.

Link to 3.5

2.6 Reliability and validity

Users of research and the general public must not be in any way misled about the reliability and validity of Internet research findings. It is therefore essential

that the researcher:

- a. follows scientifically sound sampling methods consistent with the purpose of the research;
- b. publishes a clear statement of the sample universe definition used in a given survey, the research approach adopted, the response rate achieved and the method of calculating this where possible;
- c. publishes any appropriate reservations about the possible lack of projectability or other limitations of the research findings for instance resulting from non-response and other factors.

A very large proportion of internet research is carried out using internet access panels and detailed technical guidance on the use and management of these panels is provided in **section 2.9**

It is equally important that any research *about* the Internet (e.g. to measure penetration, usership etc.), which

employs other data collection methods, such as telephone or mail, also clearly refers to any sampling, or other, limitations on the data collected.

Link to 3.5

2.7 Interviewing children and young people

Researchers must observe all relevant laws and national codes specifically relating to children and young people although it is recognised that the identification of children and young people is not possible with certainty on the Internet at this time. ESOMAR requirements about the precautions to be taken are set out in the ESOMAR Guideline on Interviewing Children and Young People.

According to the ESOMAR Guideline, permission of a responsible adult must be obtained before interviewing children aged under 14 (the age definition for children does vary from country to country) and asking questions on topics generally regarded as sensitive should be avoided wherever possible and in any case handled with extreme care. Researchers must use their best endeavours to ensure that they conform to the requirements of the Guideline referred to, for example by introducing

special contacting procedures to secure the permission of a parent before carrying out an interview with children under 14. Where necessary researchers should consult ESOMAR or their national society for advice.

Link to 3.7

2.8 Unsolicited e-mail

Researchers shall not send unsolicited messages online to respondents who have indicated that they do not wish to receive such messages relating to a research project or to any follow-up research resulting directly from it. Researchers will reduce any inconvenience or irritation such e-mail might cause to the recipient by clearly stating its purpose in the subject heading and keeping the total message as brief as possible.

Link to 3.8

2.9 Internet access panels

ESOMAR believes that it is in the best interests of the research industry for access panels to be actively managed and maintained at all stages from recruitment to sample selection, response monitoring and regular panel maintenance. **A set of detailed guidelines** have been prepared to

help research providers develop a quality management approach to their panels.

In order to assist the researcher who intends to use an access panel, ESOMAR has prepared a **list of questions** which could be put to the owner of the panel to establish the likely composition and quality of the panel recruitment and maintenance.

3. ADDITIONAL GUIDANCE

In the following sections specific additional guidance is given on each of the basic ESOMAR principles.

3.1 Co-operation is voluntary

Researchers must not make use of surreptitious, misleading or unsolicited data collection or recruitment techniques - including sifting respondent e-mail addresses from websites, using agents that collect personal information without the respondent's explicit awareness, spamming, scamming or baiting respondents.

Where visitors to a particular website are asked to take part in a survey, either through clicking through to a survey site or via a pop-up window, care should be taken to ensure that visitors who don't wish to take part are

not inconvenienced – i.e. through interruption of a task.

Survey introductions or a survey description to which a link has been provided must assure respondents that data will be collected only for research purposes and will under no circumstances be used for direct marketing or other sales approaches to the respondent.

For surveys completed online, respondents must be told about the length of time the questionnaire is likely to take to complete under normal circumstances (e.g. assuming connection is maintained). The use of some form of metering device so that respondents can track their progress through the questionnaire is recommended.

Respondents should be informed if they have the option of completing the questionnaire at a time convenient to them within the schedule dictated by the time frame of the study. Beyond this, suitable technical measures should be implemented, where appropriate, allowing respondents not to answer particular questions (but to proceed with the rest of the interview) and to interrupt and subsequently return to the interview at any time.

Respondents must be given the opportunity to find out more about the research agency carrying out the study, by giving them the name of the organisation together with an address (postal address, telephone number, agency's website or e-mail address). A corresponding hyperlink is recommended for this purpose.

The description of the nature of the research should include the following:

- The identity of the client (always² if sampling from customer databases, only if this is methodological appropriate in other cases).
- For multi-topic surveys, the variety of subject areas included in the questionnaire.
- An explanation of the reasons the respondent has been chosen to participate and the likely benefits.

All of the above information must be given at the start of the questionnaire, as must any links to data protection; privacy policy or cookie consent statements. This will ensure that should respondents fail to complete the questionnaire for any reason their rights are protected.

Where lists are used for sample selection, the source of the list must be disclosed.

Where these are derived from website registration databases, researchers must check that registration was voluntary and that the data is current.

At the end of the questionnaire or project, it is good practice to provide a thank you statement or to send an electronic thank you letter, unless respondents have refused e-mail contact. This should give details of the agency conducting the research.

If a repeat or follow-up survey is intended, a statement concerning Data Protection must be displayed on the respondents' screen at the latest by the end of the first interview, while obtaining their consent for the necessary storage of their address data. The respondents should be given the opportunity to print out this statement. The respondents must be able to refuse further participation in the survey via a suitable option and to refuse further contact by e-mail in connection with the survey.

When recruiting members for an online panel, it must be expressly pointed out to them that their address, as well as various selection criteria, will be stored by the research agency for the purpose of further surveys. Furthermore it must be pointed out that members can discontinue participation at any time and can ask that these data be deleted.

Respondents must always be told when cookies (or small text files that will ensure that they won't be interviewed again, for example) or other covert software is being used to collect information about them are being used and that they can turn them off or remove them.

Sample wording for obtaining consent to set up a "Cookie" for research purposes

The following is from the German guidelines on Internet research and gives a suggested method for seeking permission for cookies.

Our Server ____ would like to set up a "cookie" on your computer. The "cookie" is named ____ . Its sole purpose is to inform our server if your computer accesses this site / page again during the time of this study, from ____ to ____ , to prevent it from asking you again to complete a questionnaire.

The "cookie" will be used exclusively for the research objectives of this study and will be deactivated after its conclusion on ____ . It would be a great help to us in conducting the study, if you would consent to the "cookie" being installed.

May our server ____ install the "cookie" named ____ on your computer? yes/no

3.2 Researcher's identity disclosure

This subject requires no additional guidance. There is additional information in the section covering **privacy statements**.

3.3 Respondents' anonymity must be safeguarded

It must be remembered that a respondent's e-mail address is personal data where it refers to a data subject and therefore needs to be protected in the same way as other identifiers.

3.4 Privacy policy statements

ESOMAR members carrying out research on the Internet should develop a privacy policy and prepare a privacy statement describing their policy. This statement should be made available as a link from every online survey. The purpose of this document is to guide members on the topics to be considered in their privacy policies. Some elements of the policy will be standard for all surveys and these are discussed in the **next section**. Other aspects of the privacy policy will vary depending on the sampling method being used. These are discussed in the section **three major variants**. The order and wording of the published privacy statement is a matter for each member to decide. An example privacy statement is also given.

ESOMAR WORLD RESEARCH CODES & GUIDELINES

Standard elements for all privacy statements

- Statement of **who** is doing the research. This could include a hyperlink to the research Company Home page for more information.
- **Who is it for** - explanation that each survey will contain information about the identity of the Company/organisation the research is being done for, unless there are good reasons for not providing this information.
- Guarantee that in all circumstances identities of individual respondents and their answers will be treated as **confidential** and will be used only for research purposes unless the respondent expressly requests disclosure to a third party.
- Will **not mislead** you - e.g. in obtaining your co-operation we will not mislead you about the nature of the research or the uses, which will be made of the findings.
- **Voluntary** - e.g. as with all forms of market and opinion research, your co-operation is voluntary at all times. No personal information is sought from or about, you without your prior knowledge and agreement.
- **Withdraw** - e.g. you are entitled at any stage of the interview, or subsequently, to ask that part or all of the record of your interview be destroyed or deleted. Wherever reasonable and

practical we will conform to such a request.

- **Invisible processing** - clear statement of any invisible processing related to the survey that is taking place. Most web surveys can detect information about the respondent without respondent knowledge. Browser type, User name and computer identification are amongst the list of detectable information. Statements should say clearly what information is being captured and used during the interview (e.g. to deliver a page optimised to suit the browser) and whether any of this information is being handled as part of the survey or administrative records.
- **Cookies** - clear statement that they are or are not being used, and if so, why. e.g. we use cookies and other similar devices sparingly and only for quality control, validation and to prevent bothersome repeat surveying. If cookies are being used, it would be advisable to include a reminder that the respondent has control over whether their computer accepts cookies e.g. Ensure your browser is configured so that you are alerted to the placement of all cookies. You can also delete cookies by adjusting your browser settings.
- **Children** - clear statement about how interviews with children (age to be defined in each country) will be carried out. - e.g. in research involving children, we will conform to the terms of

the Children’s Online Privacy Protection **Act (COPPA)** of 1998 and seek the verifiable permission of a parent or legal guardian before an interview commences.

- How to **contact** us - e.g. we will provide an e-mail address and/or a freephone number for respondents to contact us to discuss any problems with a particular survey.
- **Security** measures e.g. our web site has security measures in place to protect the loss, misuse, and alteration of the information under our control. Only certain employees have access to the information you provide us. They have access only for data analysis and quality control purposes.
- **Unsolicited mail** - state policy not to send unsolicited mail or pass on e-mail addresses to others for this purpose.
- **Validation** - several privacy validation services exist, e.g. **Trust-e**, and members should consider membership

Three major variants

Surveys where the respondent has, or is in the process of, voluntarily joining a panel for market research purposes

- The **sign up** process - describe the registration process.
- The **panel database** - describe information that is stored for panel

management, control and sample selection

- **Frequency of contact** - Give some statement of how often or for how long
- **Password** identity system - if it is used describe how it works and the security it offers.
- **Opt in and opt out** policies for communications other than surveys such as panel maintenance or reward schemes. State what communications will be sent, which are optional and clarify any potential communications for third parties.
- **Reward** - explain any reward scheme and if this forms the basis for a contract.

Surveys where the research agency has been given or has acquired a list of e-mail addresses in order to send invitations to participate in a survey

- **Source of information** - clear statement of where the e-mail address came from or that this will be included in the information given in the survey itself. Also, if a list has been provided, state that the list provider has verified to the research agency that the individuals listed have a reasonable expectation that they will receive e-mail contact.
- **Spamming** - will not knowingly send e-mail to people who have not consented to helping in research. May include mechanism for removing your

name from future surveys or notifying the provider of the e-mail list.

- **Password identity system** - if it is used describe how it works and the security it offers.
- **Stop and start** interview process – if this is possible explain how, and any information stored to allow it.

Intercept surveys where the respondent is selected as a 1 in n sample of visitors to a web site

- **Explain intercept** technique - random selection.
- **Password identity system** - if it is used, describe how it works and the security it offers.
- **Stop and start** interview process – if this is possible, explain how, and any information stored to allow it.
- **Invisible processing** – describe any invisible processing used to make the intercept or re-direct respondents to the survey.

Example Privacy Statement

NameOfCompany would like to thank you for taking part in this genuine Market Research survey about GeneralDescriptionOfTheSurvey. We are not trying to sell or promote anything. This is a market research survey using scientific methods and we promise that, in obtaining your

co-operation, we **will not mislead** you about the nature of the research or the use, which will be made of the findings.

The answers you give us will be treated as **Confidential** unless you have given your consent to the contrary. In the relatively few instances where we ask you for permission to pass data on in a form which allows you to be personally identified, we will ensure that the information will be used only for research purposes. We will not send you unsolicited mail or pass on your e-mail addresses to others for this purpose. If we want to send you future e-mail, we will ask your explicit permission for this.

As with all forms of market and opinion research, your co-operation is **voluntary** at all times. No personal information is sought from or about you, without your prior knowledge and agreement. You are entitled at any stage of the interview, or subsequently, to ask that part or all of the record of your interview be destroyed or deleted. Wherever reasonable and practical we will carry out such a request.

Information about the appropriate sample details to be inserted here.

We try our best not to interview **children** without first getting the permission of

their parents, though we cannot always guarantee this to be the case.

We use **cookies** and other similar devices sparingly and only for quality control, validation and to prevent bothersome repeat surveying. You can configure your browser to notify you when cookies are being placed on your computer. You can also delete cookies by adjusting your browser settings

We automatically capture information about your browser type in order to deliver an interview best suited to your software. We do no other **invisible processing** of data from your computer.

Our web site has **security measures** in place to protect the loss, misuse, and alteration of the information under our control. Only certain employees have access to the information you provide us. They have access only for data analysis and quality control purposes. You can **contact us** at emailaddress@company.com to discuss any problems with this survey. You can find out more about us at www.ourwebsite.com.

3.5 Data security

Researchers must use the most up to date technologies to protect the personal data collected or stored on

websites or servers. Especially sensitive or valuable information should be protected by reliable encryption technologies.

If the temporary storage of the data collected takes place on a server that is operated by a provider, the research agency must place the provider under the obligation to take the necessary technical precautions to ensure that third parties cannot access the data on the server or during data transfer. Temporary storage of the collected data on the server must be terminated at the earliest possible time.

Before data is sent over the Internet to another country, researchers must check with competent authorities that the data transfer is permissible. The recipient may need to provide safeguards necessary for the protection of the data.

Researchers must have adequate safeguards in place to ensure that when e-mails are sent in batches the addresses of the respondents are not revealed.

Clients must be fully informed about the potential risks of posting details of confidential information in Internet surveys.

3.6 Reliability and validity

As with all techniques of data collection also in the case of online surveys, the sample must be selected and drawn on the basis of scientifically recognised methods which are in line with the research objectives.

In online surveys – as with all studies in market and social research – it is necessary to document for the client, how the total population is defined and how the sample was selected and drawn. If the selection of respondents was carried out by means of a random procedure, the response rate and the way in which it was calculated, must be stated. When quota sampling methods are used, the sources (as a rule, secondary statistical sources) and the distribution of quota characteristics deduced from them must be stated and the fulfilment of the quota characteristics must be documented in the form of a target-actual comparison.

If shortcomings in the definition of the total population, in the selection procedure, in the response rate (using random sampling methods), or in the target-actual structure (using quota sampling methods) mean that the results are not representative or only to a restricted degree, then this must

be explicitly pointed out. The weighting and proportionalisation methods used must also be documented.

3.7 Interviewing children and young people

Children may be familiar with using the Internet but research has found them to be naïve and trusting, happily disclosing information about themselves or their households without realising the implications of doing so. Parent groups, consumer groups and legislators are particularly concerned about potential exploitation of children on the Internet and it is for this reason that guidelines place greater burdens on researchers than would be the case in adult research. Researchers must ensure that the principle of consent is met, so if Internet research is conducted outside the school environment, special measures must be taken to ensure verifiable and explicit consent.

Consent must be obtained from a parent, legal guardian or other responsible adult.

A notice to parent or guardian, seeking their consent for their child to be asked to participate in the research, must be posted on the website or e-mailed to a parent.

This notice of consent must include:

- A heading explaining that this is a notice for parents.
- The identity of the client (always if sampling from customer databases, only if this methodologically appropriate in other cases).
- Name and contact details of the agency/agencies.
- The nature of the data to be collected from the child.
- An explanation of how the data will be used.
- An explanation of the reasons the child has been asked to participate and the likely benefits. A description of the procedure for giving and verifying consent.
- A request for a parent's contact e-mail address, address or phone number for verification of consent.
- Where personal information collected from children will only be used for research purposes and no personal data will be passed on for any other purpose, a return e-mail from parent or guardian giving their consent is acceptable, as long as additional steps are taken to ensure that the consent actually came from a parent – for example, following up with an e-mail, letter or phone call. It is permissible to ask children to provide contact details for their parents in order for consent

to be sought as long as this purpose is made clear in the request for information. Prior parental consent will not be required to:

- Collect a child's or parent's e-mail address solely to provide notice of data collection and request consent.
- Collect a child's age for screening and exclusion purposes. If this screening leads to the decision that a child does not qualify for interview, parental consent must then be sought to continue with the interview.

Unsolicited e-mail communications must not be addressed to children without verifiable and explicit prior consent.

Personal information relating to other people (for example, parents) must not be collected from children. All data protection, privacy policy, consent and other notices must be capable of being understood by children.

Questionnaires on websites aimed at children must require a child to give their age before any other personal information is requested. If the age given is below the nationally agreed threshold dividing child from adult, the child should be excluded from giving further personal information until the appropriate consent has been obtained.

A notice to children, informing them of the requirement for consent must be shown at the point where personal information is requested. This notice should be clear and prominent and must include an explanation of the subject. Where required they must also refer to the fact that consent will be verified.

3.8 Unsolicited e-mail

The general principle of this section is that survey research organizations will not use unsolicited e-mails to recruit respondents for surveys.

Research organizations are required to verify that individuals contacted for research by e-mail have a reasonable expectation that they will receive e-mail contact for research. Such agreement can be assumed when ALL of the following conditions exist.

1. A substantive pre-existing relationship exists between the individuals contacted and the research organization, the client or the list owners contracting the research (the latter being so identified);
2. Individuals have a reasonable expectation, based on the pre-existing relationship, that they may be contacted for research;

3. Individuals are offered the choice to be removed from future e-mail contact in each invitation; and,

4. The invitation list excludes all individuals who have previously taken the appropriate and timely steps to request the list owner to remove them.

Research organizations must not use any subterfuge in obtaining e-mail addresses of potential respondents, such as collecting e-mail addresses from public domains, using technologies or techniques to collect e-mail addresses without individuals' awareness, and collecting e-mail addresses under the guise of some other activity.

Research organizations must not use false or misleading return e-mail addresses when recruiting respondents over the Internet.

When receiving e-mail lists from clients or list owners, research organizations must have the client or list provider verify that individuals listed have a reasonable expectation that they will receive e-mail contact, as defined above.

It would be good practice if researchers kept copies of e-mails and other documents received from respondents agreeing to or restricting the use of their information or their being accessed.

4. Internet access panels

Guideline on internet access panels

Internet access panels are being used more and more often in market research surveys. The advantages of this method of sampling and questioning are such that the use of Access panels is set to increase considerably in the years ahead. However, the rapid growth of this approach to sampling brings with it a number of potential implications. At present the market is largely unregulated and it is often difficult to find out exactly what quality standards, if any, are being applied in sampling and recruiting of panel members and how they are maintained.

ESOMAR believes that the best interests of respondents, research buyers and research suppliers will be met by developing actively managed access panels. Each should be a permission database managed in order to develop a mutual and trusted relationship between the panel owner and panel members. The following sections provide guidelines to panel owners who wish to build actively managed panels. They cover recruitment, project management, panel monitoring, panel maintenance and data protection.

In many of these areas the guidelines define the set of management policies that the panel owner should have in place, while not dictating the exact detail of the recommended policy. Future developments of the ISO standards for market research may define more explicit policies that participating companies will implement in their operating procedures.

Panel recruitment

1. Panel members must be told that they are a member of a panel and be asked to voluntarily and actively indicate that they wish to be on the panel. A double opt-in recruitment process is recommended particularly where respondents are recruited online. This procedure requires the respondent to initiate an approach to the panel owner, the panel owner replies confirming the panel details and double checks that the respondent is who they seem to be and that they do wish to join. The respondent then replies to complete the double opt-in and joins the panel.

There may be circumstances when the panel owner already has e-mail addresses for potential panellists, where a simplified opt-in process is acceptable. This would start with an e-mail from the panel owner followed by

the panel member replying or visiting a web site to enrol.

The panel owner should retain documentary proof (either hard copy or electronic) of each panel member's agreement to join the panel.

2. The size of the panel should be stated honestly and be based on the number of individuals who have personally joined the panel. Even though the panel owner might have data on other household members in panellists' homes, the panel size should not be calculated to include additional household members who have not actively joined the panel.

The claimed size of the panel should be based on active panel members (see Panel Maintenance point 1 below)

3. The panel owner should retain documentary proof of how each panel member was recruited - from what type of source their name and e-mail address was obtained including, where relevant, the website from which they were invited to join the panel? In particular, respondents who have been actively recruited through a traditional sampling approach and invited to join the panel should be identified. An overall analysis of type of recruitment source for the active panel or for any sample drawn from it should be available to

potential buyers. Panel owners may protect commercially sensitive information about the exact sources used.

4. The panel owner should have documented procedures for checking that new panel members are not already panel members and thereby avoid duplication in the panel.

5. On recruitment all panel members should provide a set of basic descriptive information about themselves in order that the representativeness of the panel can be assessed and that targeted or stratified sample can be drawn.

6. ESOMAR does not prescribe a mandatory minimum set of background variables that should be recorded about each active panel member. However, the following variables all have valuable roles in strategies to avoid duplication or clarify individual identity, stratification of samples for research projects, and weighting strategies to counter heavy user bias:

- Sex
- Level of education
- Household size
- Region
- Location (postal code + housenumber)
- Age (date of birth)

- Presence of children in household
- Working status
- Weight of internet usage (hours per week)
- Type of internet access

Panel owners should have a published list of background variables for which data are available from all panel members.

7. All panel members must be given a clear and unambiguous guarantee that the access panel is used solely for the purpose of conducting market research surveys (i.e. there will be no attempt to sell products or approach panel members for telemarketing or any other form of marketing activity).

Project management

1. Panel owners should have a clearly defined list of data about panellists that can be used in the definition of a sample to be selected from the panel. This list should include both background variables provided by all panel members and items of panellist history such as recency of selection for a previous project and co-operation history.

2. Panel owners should provide to clients a clear and honest description of the nature of their panel - the population it covers - and be transparent

about partnership arrangements with other panel owners.

3. Panel owners should have a published policy about how frequently they select individual panel members to participate in surveys.

4. Panel owners should have a clearly stated policy about the maximum number of research projects for which a panel member will be selected to participate in any given period of time.

5. Panel owners should have a clearly defined list of information that can be used to exclude panellists from selection for a project sample.

6. Panel owners should have a clearly defined policy on how they reward panellists. The research buyer should be informed of the reward method to be used on their project.

7. Panel owners should provide a comprehensive response analysis at the end of each survey. This should also include a copy of the solicitation e-mail sent to panel members and the full wording of any screening or introductory questions put to panellists before the main survey started.

ESOMAR recommends the following content in a project technical summary:

- Original invite text(s)
- Date(s) of invites (date(s) of reminder(s))
- Date of closing fieldwork (days in field)
- Panel used (proprietary or third part and amounts)

Response based on the total amount of invites (% or full numbers) per sample drawn (country, questionnaire).

- % questionnaire opened
- % questionnaire completed (including screen-out)
- % in target group (based on quota's)
- % validated (rest is cleaned out, if applicable).

A short description of how the response and the project relate to the standard criteria, is it less or more than usual and any peculiarities with the survey?

8. Panel owners should have documented procedures to ensure that a panel member can answer a survey for which they have been selected, only once.

Panel monitoring

1. Panel owners should keep detailed records for each panel member of:
 - The research projects or surveys for which they have been sampled
 - The nature of the panellist's response to each project or survey

The records should be stored in such a way that it is easy to determine:

- When a panellist was last selected for a survey
- When a panellist last co-operated with a survey
- The number of surveys the panellist has completed in any given period of time.

2. Panel owners should calculate regularly and be able to make available to potential client's key data about their panel including:

- Average number of projects selected for, per panellist per period
- Maximum number of projects selected for, per panellist per period
- Average number of complete questionnaires per panellist per period

3. Where panel owners adopt an electronic storage system that allows all responses given by a respondent (across many surveys), all data collected exclusively on behalf of a client must be

treated as confidential and may not be used in the future on behalf of a second client either in the selection of sample or analysis of data. Data ownership, and the associated responsibilities to respondents, may be changed by contractual agreement between panel owner and client in advance of data collection.

4. Panel owners should have a clear and published policy about validation checks. They should maintain records of any checks they carry out to validate that the panel member did indeed answer a survey.

Panel maintenance

1. Panel owners should have a clear and published definition of an active panel member. The size of the active panel will normally be lower than the total number of panellists. ESOMAR recommends the following definition but the final definition rests with the panel owner:

An individual panel member whose set of background variables are complete and up to date (see point 3 below) and who in the preceding 12 months has either:

a. Joined the panel following procedures set out in the Panel Recruitment section above.

b. Co-operated by completing an online questionnaire (including replying to a screener)

c. Indicated to the panel owner that they wish to remain a member of the panel

2. Panel owners should regularly remove from the panel non-active members. Each panel member’s record of participation should be reviewed regularly and the panel owner should have clearly defined rules for when to remove panellists as non-active based on their co-operation history in the preceding period. Panel members, who appear to be inactive because they have not been selected for a survey since the last review of their status, should be contacted in order to confirm their willingness to continue as panel members.

3. Panel owners should have a clearly defined policy on how frequently panel members will be asked to update their background information. This policy should also define whether or not changes in circumstances discovered during survey projects will be recorded in the data record.

4. Panel owners should have a clearly defined policy on how long they will allow an active panellist to remain on

the panel before they are removed and replaced by new panel members.

Privacy/data protection

1. The panel must be managed in accordance with local data protection laws and, if legally required, should be registered with the appropriate authority.
2. Panel members must, on their request, be informed which personal data relating to them are to be stored. Any personal data that are indicated by panel members as not correct or obsolete must be corrected or deleted.
3. Panel members must be given a simple and effective method for leaving the panel whenever they choose to. Panel members who have stated that they wish to leave the panel must not be selected for any further surveys and must be removed from the panel as soon as practicable.

25 Questions to help research buyers

The use of internet access panels for sampling and questioning is a relatively new research technique. It will take some time before uniform quality standards can be defined and adopted across the industry. In the early stages of a methodological development there

will be many different approaches attempted by research suppliers. Research buyers who wish to get a more thorough understanding of the methodology offered by a research provider can use the following set of 25 questions as the basis of a discussion of methodology.

Reputable suppliers with established methodologies and active panel management policies will be able to provide answers to these questions. The decision to go ahead or not can then be made on an informed understanding of the approach being offered and its likely quality.

1. Is it an actively managed panel (nurtured community) or just a database?
2. 'Truthfully' how large is it?
3. What is the percentage of 'active' members and how are they defined?
4. Where are the respondents sourced from and how are they recruited?
5. Have members clearly opted-in? If so, was this double opt-in?
6. What exactly have they been asked to opt-in to?

7. What do panel members get in return for participating?
8. Is the panel used solely for market research?
9. Is there a Privacy Policy in place? If so, what does it state?
10. What research industry standards are complied with?
11. Is the panel compliant with all regional, national and local laws with respect to privacy, data protection and children e.g. EU Safe Harbour, and COPPA in the US? (Provide hotlinks for reference)
12. What basic socio-demographic profile information, usership, interests data, etc. is kept on members?
13. How often is it updated?
14. In what other ways can users be profiled (e.g. source of data)?
15. What is the (minimum and typical) turn-around time from initial request to first deployment of the emails to activate a study?
16. What are likely response rates and how is response rate calculated?
17. Are or can panel members who have recently participated in a survey on the same subject be excluded from a new sample?
18. Is a response rate (over and above screening) guaranteed?
19. How often are individual members contacted for market research or anything else in a given time period?
20. How is the sample selection process for a particular survey undertaken?
21. Can samples be deployed as batches/replicates, by time zones, geography, etc? If so, how is this controlled?
22. Is the sample randomized before deployment?
23. Can the time of sample deployment be controlled and, if so, how?
24. Can panel members be directed to specific sites for the survey questionnaire to be undertaken?
25. What guarantees are there to guard against bad data i.e. respondent cheating or not concentrating/caring in their responses (e.g. click happy)?

ESOMAR WORLD RESEARCH CODES & GUIDELINES

5. SOURCES USED IN COMPILING GUIDELINES

COPPA – Children’s Online Privacy Protection Act

DMA – Code of Practice for Commercial Communications to Children Online (German) Guidelines for Online Surveys – **ADM, ASI, BVM. DGOF**

CASRO – Code of Standards and Ethics for Survey Research, Section I.B.3. Internet Research <http://www.esomar.org/index.php/associations.html>

MRS Guidelines for Researching Children and Young People

6. LINKS TO NATIONAL RESEARCH ASSOCIATION INFORMATION

You can find a full listing of **National Research associations** at the ESOMAR website. Many national associations have their own additional guidelines on internet research and detail local requirements reflecting legislative requirements in their country.

<http://www.esomar.org/index.php/associations.html>

7. IMPORTANT DEFINITIONS

Definition of Internet research

Internet research is defined as research in which a respondent – either on a single occasion or as part of a panel:

- Completes a questionnaire online via the Internet on a server belonging to the research agency or a provider
- Downloads a questionnaire from a server on the Internet and returns it by e-mail, or
- Receives the questionnaire incorporated into an e-mail and returns it in the same way or
- Participates in an online qualitative interview or discussion.

• Or takes part in a measurement system which tracks web usage by such means as specialist software installed on the user’s p.c.

These guidelines also apply to research conducted via WAP and 3rd generation technology, though when collecting data in this way, special care must be taken to ensure that it is convenient and safe for the respondent to proceed.

Definition of Internet access panel

A sample database of potential respondents who declare that they are willing to receive invitations to participate in future internet interviews

if selected. Normally a minimum of demographic data are available for each respondent so effective sample selection can be checked by population parameters, and if more data on potential respondents are in the database, then targeted samples can be selected. Continuously reporting panels (e.g. TV-rating-panels, consumer-panels) are not covered by the definition of Access Panel.

Notes:

¹ ICC/ESOMAR Codes and Guidelines are always subordinate to existing national law. There is currently no international unanimity as to whether country of origin or country of destination applies to research on the Internet.)

² The guidance in non-Internet situations is that client identity (if sampling from customer databases) can be given at an appropriate point during the interview. That is difficult to control in Internet research where the respondent can withdraw at any point but still has the right to know the source of his/her contact details. Best practice in these circumstances would be to name the client at the start of the questionnaire. If this is likely to bias response, then the client must be identified as early as possible in the questionnaire.)

August 2005

ESOMAR
Vondelstraat 172
1054 GV Amsterdam
The Netherlands
Tel +31 20 664 2141
Fax +31 20 664 2922

ESOMAR is the world organisation for enabling better research into markets, consumers and societies.

With 4,500 members in 100 countries, ESOMAR's aim is to promote the value of market and opinion research in illuminating real issues and bringing about effective decision-making.

To facilitate this ongoing dialogue, ESOMAR creates and manages a comprehensive programme of industry-specific and thematic conferences, publications and communications, as well as actively advocating self-regulation and the worldwide code of practice.



www.esomar.org